

Foliar Fungicide Efficacy Ratings for Wheat Disease Management 2023

Kelsey Andersen Onofre
Plant Pathologist

Erick D. De Wolf
Plant Pathologist

Efficacy ratings for each fungicide listed in the table were determined by field testing the materials over multiple years and locations in Kansas. They were verified by the members of the North Central Extension and Research Committee (NCERA-184) for the management of small grain diseases.

Efficacy is based on proper application timing needed to achieve optimum effectiveness of the fungicide as determined by labeled instructions and overall level of disease in the field at the time of application. Differences in efficacy among fungicide products were determined by direct comparisons among products in field tests and are based on a single application of the labeled rate as listed in the table.

Quick Guidelines for Fungicide Use

Research conducted by Kansas State University indicates a single fungicide application made to susceptible wheat varieties when the risk of disease is high will often result in a 4% to 13% yield increase with an average increase of approximately 10% relative to wheat that remained untreated. A lower yield response is likely if the disease remains at low levels or is absent. The following guidelines will help maximize the potential for effective disease management and a positive yield response.

Application timing. In general, the largest reductions in disease severity and greatest increases in wheat yield or grain quality occur when fungicides are applied between full extension of the flag leaves and anthesis (when the male flower parts have just begin to emerge). Applications intended for the management of glume blotch or head scab should be made during anthesis (flowering). Always consult the product label for specific growth stage restrictions and preharvest intervals (PHI) before making a fungicide application.

Pay attention to disease scouting reports. The risk of severe disease and yield loss is greatest when foliar diseases become established early and result in consistent disease pressure throughout the growing season. Discovery of low disease levels within a field or regional reports of disease outbreaks when the local wheat crop is between jointing and flag leaf emergence is a valuable indicator of an elevated disease risk and potential yield loss.

Know the vulnerabilities of varieties. Growing wheat varieties that are susceptible to leaf rust, stripe rust, tan spot, or powdery mildew increases the risk of severe disease and yield loss. Fungicides are most likely to improve yield when applied to varieties that are susceptible to one or more of these common diseases. Varieties with moderate or high levels of resistance to these diseases are less likely to benefit from a fungicide application. For more information, refer to *Kansas Wheat Variety Guide 2022*, MF991 and *Evaluating the Need for Wheat Foliar Fungicides*, MF3057.

This information is provided only as a guide. It is the responsibility of the pesticide applicator by law to read and follow all current label directions. No endorsement is intended for products listed, nor is criticism meant for products not listed. Members of NCERA-184 Committee assume no liability resulting from the use of these products.

Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. Persons using such products assume responsibility for their use in accordance with current label directions of the manufacturer.

Publications from Kansas State University are available at: www.bookstore.ksre.ksu.edu

Publications are reviewed or revised annually by appropriate faculty to reflect current research and practice. Date shown is that of publication or last revision. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. In each case, credit Kelsey Andersen Onofre and Erick D. De Wolf, *Foliar Fungicide Efficacy Ratings for Wheat Disease Management 2023*, Kansas State University, March 2023.

Efficacy of fungicides for wheat disease control based on appropriate application timing.

Fungicide(s)												
Class	Active ingredient	Product	Rate/a (fl. oz)	Powdery mildew	Stagonospora leaf/glume blotch	Septoria tritici blotch	Tan spot	Stripe rust	Leaf rust	Stem rust	Head scab ⁴	Harvest Restriction
Strobilurin	Picoxystrobin 22.5%	Aproach SC	6.0 - 12.0	G ¹	VG	VG ²	VG	E ³	VG	VG	NL	Feekes 10.5
	Pyraclostrobin 23.6%	Headline SC	6.0 - 9.0	G	VG	VG ²	E	E ³	E	G	NL	Feekes 10.5
	Azoxystrobin 22.9%	Quadris 2.08 SC	4.0 - 12.0 ⁵	G	VG	VG	E	E	E	VG	NL	Feekes 10.5.4
Triazole	Metconazole 8.6%	Caramba 0.75 SL	10.0 - 17.0	VG	VG	VG	VG	E	E	E	G	30 days
	Tebuconazole 38.7%	Folicur 3.6 F ⁶	4.0	NL	NL	NL	NL	E	E	E	F	30 days
	Prothioconazole 41%	Proline 480 SC	5.0 - 5.7	--	VG	VG	VG	VG	VG	VG	G	30 days
	Prothioconazole 19% Tebuconazole 19%	Prosaro 421 SC	6.5 - 8.2	G	VG	VG	VG	E	E	E	G	30 days
	Propiconazole 41.8%	Tilt 3.6 EC ⁶	4.0	VG	VG	VG	VG	VG	VG	VG	P	Feekes 10.5.4
	Metconazole 10.91% Prothioconazole 18.19%	Sphaerex	4.0 - 7.3	VG	VG	VG	VG	E	E	E	G	30 days
	Mixed modes of action ⁸	Tebuconazole 22.6% Trifloxystrobin 22.6%	Absolute Maxx SC	5.0	G	VG	VG	VG	VG	E	VG	NL
Cyproconazole 7.17% Picoxystrobin 17.94%		Aproach Prima SC	3.4 - 6.8	VG	VG	VG	VG	E	VG	--	NR	45 days
Prothioconazole 16.0% Trifloxystrobin 13.7%		Delaro 325 SC	8.0	G	VG	VG	VG	VG	VG	VG	NL	Feekes 10.5 35 days
Pydiflumetofen 13.7% Propiconazole 11.4%		Miravis Ace SE	13.7	VG	VG	VG	VG	VG	VG	VG	G	Feekes 10.5.4
Fluxapyroxad 2.8% Pyraclostrobin 18.7% Propiconazole 11.7%		Nexicor EC	7.0 - 13.0	VG	VG	E	E	E	E	VG	NL	Feekes 10.5
Fluxapyroxad 14.3% Pyraclostrobin 28.6%		Priaxor	4.0 - 8.0	G	VG	VG	E	VG	VG	G	NL	Feekes 10.5
Prothioconazole 17.39% Tebuconazole 8.7% Fluopyram 8.7%		Prosaro Pro SC	10.3-13.6	G	VG	VG	VG	E	E	E	G	30 days
Propiconazole 11.7% Azoxystrobin 13.5%		Quilt Xcel 2.2 SE ⁶	10.5 - 14.0 ⁷	VG	VG	VG	VG	E	E	VG	NL	Feekes 10.5.4
Prothioconazole 10.8% Trifloxystrobin 32.3%		Stratego YLD	4.0	G	VG	VG	VG	VG	VG	VG	NL	Feekes 10.5 35 days
Benzovindiflupyr 2.9% Propiconazole 11.9% Azoxystrobin 10.5%		Trivapro SE	9.4 - 13.7	VG	VG	VG	VG	E	E	VG	NL	Feekes 10.5.4
Flutriafol 18.63% Azoxystrobin 25.30%		Topguard EQ	4.0-7.0	VG	NL	VG	VG	E	E	VG	NL	Feekes 10.5.4 30 days

¹Efficacy categories: NL=Not Labeled; NR=Not Recommended; P=Poor; F=Fair; G=Good; VG=Very Good; E=Excellent; -- = Insufficient data to make statement about efficacy of this product.

²Product efficacy may be reduced in areas with fungal populations that are resistant to strobilurin fungicides.

³Efficacy may be significantly reduced if solo strobilurin products are applied after stripe rust infection has occurred.

⁴Application of products containing strobilurin fungicides may result in elevated levels of the mycotoxin Deoxynivalenol (DON) in grain damaged by head scab.

⁵Label rate for powdery mildew is 7.5-11.0 fl. oz/acre.

⁶Multiple generic products containing the same active ingredients also may be labeled in some states.

⁷A 7 oz/acre rate has been approved in several states (Kansas, Nebraska, Colorado, South Dakota) for flag leaf applications when disease levels are low

⁸Products with mixed modes of action generally combine triazole and strobilurin active ingredients. Miravis Ace, Nexicor, Priaxor, and Trivapro include carboxamide active ingredients.